



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/775,626	02/05/2001	Takeshi Katayama	Q61668 8346		
7590 05/18/2004 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC Suite 800			EXAMINER		
			SAIN, GAUTAM		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20037-3213			2176		
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	о.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/775,626		KATAYAMA ET AI	L.		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Gautam Sain		2176			
Th Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app eply	ears on the cov	er sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress		
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply defer reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, seceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, ho within the statutory n ill apply and will expir cause the application	wever, may a reply be time ninimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from t to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this co	r. mmunication.		
Status							
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Fe</u>	bruary 2001.					
		action is non-fi	nal.				
3)∏ Sinc	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	ed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition o	of Claims						
4a) 0 5)	m(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application P	apers						
10)∏ The o Appli Repl	specification is objected to by the Examiner drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accesicant may not request that any objection to the dacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctional oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinary	pted or b) of oll of oll of oll oll oll oll oll ol	d in abeyance. See ne drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF			
Priority under	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Ackn a)⊠ All 1.⊠ 2.⊟ 3.⊟	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign probable by Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents	have been rec have been rec ty documents h (PCT Rule 17.:	eived. eived in Applicatio ave been received 2(a)).	n No I in this National S	Stage		
2) Notice of Dr	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) <u> </u>	Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Pal	Э	.152)		
Paper No(s))/Mail Date	6)	Other:	,,	•		
J.S. Patent and Trademart PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-0	- 4	ion Summary	Pa	art of Paper No./Mail [Date 050404		

Application/Control Number: 09/775,626 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2) Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warmus et al (US 6332149, filed Feb 1997), in view of Adobe PageMaker (copyright 1996)(hereinafter "PageMaker").

Regarding claims 1, 11, Warmus teaches "... creating dummy parts data for the unreceived parts data" (ie. template ... insert a dummy picture file; first template file, second template file)(col 12, lines 1-22; summary).

Warmus teaches "creating dummy page ... page allocated ... parts data" (ie., template file created for each section of a book ... image is positioned at the upper-left hand corner)(col 11, line 62 – col 12, lines 35).

Warmus generally teaches, but PageMaker specifically teaches "replacing ... received parts data ... " (ie., replacing text and graphics with new text and graphics)(page 60 – 61).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Warmus to specifically include replacing text and graphics with new text and graphics as taught by PageMaker, providing the benefit of reproducing master and variable information on a display device or a printer (Warmus, Abstract section).

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding claims 2, 12, Warmus teaches "... providing first information with the dummy parts data, ... replacing the dummy data ... " (ie., template ... variable information... current cursor ... appropriate field in database)(col 11, line 65 – col 12, line 20).

Regarding claims 3, 13, Warmus teaches " ... folder and a file ... stored" (ie., print system; template files; storage medium)(col 11, line 45; col 12, line 39-42; Figure 12 shows a folder organizing mechanism).

Regarding claims 4, 14, Warmus teaches "parts data ... includes the first information" (ie., fixed information, template pages, variable information)(col 4, lines 1 – 15).

Regarding claims 5, 15, Warmus teaches "performing ... plate face data" (ie., template data having master file and variable file)(summary, col 3-4)(ie., dummy picture file)(col 11, line 62 – col 12, line 23).

Regarding claims 6, 16, Warmus teaches "... providing second information ... plate face data ... " (ie., second template pages with variable information)(col 4, lines 1 – 20).

Regarding claim 7, 17, Warmus teaches "... data indicating a file and a page number ... stored" (ie., PDL file containing page description)(col 4, lines 1- 20).

Regarding claim 8, 18, Warmus teaches "... printing ... "(ie., printing data ... second template ...)(summary).

Application/Control Number: 09/775,626

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding claims 9, 19, Warmus teaches "... inputting an instruction ... received" (ie., user input prompted to enter ... variable information ... select first page)(col 13, lines 30-45).

Regarding claims 10, 20, Warmus teaches "terminating process ... has not been inputted" (ie., ... determines that all images have been processed ... until images in the template files)(col 13, line 66 – col 14, line 40).

Regarding claim 21, Warmus teaches "creates dummy parts ... allocated for the unreceived parts data "(ie. template ... insert a dummy picture file; first template file, second template file)(col 12, lines 1-22; summary)(ie., template file created for each section of a book ... image is positioned at the upper-left hand corner)(col 11, line 62 – col 12, lines 35).

Warmus generally teaches, but PageMaker specifically teaches "replaces ... dummy parts data ... information " (ie., replacing text and graphics with new text and graphics)(page 60 - 61).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Warmus to specifically include replacing text and graphics with new text and graphics as taught by PageMaker, providing the benefit of reproducing master and variable information on a display device or a printer (Warmus, Abstract section).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam Sain whose telephone number is 703-305-8777. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5 EST.

Application/Control Number: 09/775,626

Art Unit: 2176

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (703)305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gautam Sain

JOSEPH FEILD